



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,135	09/870,135 05/30/2001		Christopher Charles Norris Callow	056848-5003	8867
9629	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
		& BOCKIUS LLI	DEXTER, CLARK F		
WASHING				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/870,135	CALLOW, CHRISTOPHER CHARLES NORRIS			
_	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clark F. Dexter	3724			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>per</u>	<u>nding</u>			
(1) Mr. George Letscher.	(3)				
(2) Mr. Clark Dexter.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 24 July 2006	Time: <u></u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: 10-14					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II.	•	·			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
OL LEB with	•				
Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner					
alda VI					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Dexter stated that upon further consideration, his position is that claim 11 is not fairly taught or suggested by the prior art of record, and proposed cancelling claim 11 and adding it to claim 10. Proposed claim 10 included a further amendment for clarity. Also, it was proposed to cancel claim 12 since it is the same as claim 13. Applicant accepted the proposed changes..